

ROUTINE

THIS IS AN INFORMATION REPORT, NOT FINALLY EVALUATED INTELLIGENCE

CONFIDENTIAL

22 APRIL 1976

1.5 (c)

DISCUSSED THE QUESTION OF HILITARY TRIALS FOR ABUSES OF HUMAN RIGHTS BY ARMED FORCES OFFICERS AND PERSONNEL.

1.5 (C)

HEARD SOME CASES AND

OTHERS BEING PROCESSED BY SECRET HILITARY TRIBUNAL.

THE CASE OF ARMY LIEUTENANT

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

DATE:

PAGE 3 OF 5 PAGES

CONFILENTIAL

AND THAT HE HAD BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DISAPPEARANCE AND DEATH OF THU DETAINEES.

PROCESS AGAINST A OFFICER.

ARE CHARGED WITH THE DEATH OF SEVERAL PERSONS UNDER CUSTODY

AT PRECINCT. THE MILITARY PROSECUTOR

HAS REQUESTED A JUDGMENT OF 11 YEARS IN PRISON FOR AND

TEN YEARS FOR EACH OF THE OTHER FIVE INVOLVED.

COMMENT: SANTIAGO EMBASSY TELEGRAMS 3128 OF 7 APRIL AND

2929 OF 2 APRIL 1976 REPORTED THE COMMENTS OF A PROMINENT

CHILEAN ATTORNEY, WHO SAID THAT HE HAS ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS

DEFENDED MILITARY PENSONNEL AND CARABINEROS UNDERGOING

COURT-MARTIAL FOR ABUSES OF HUMAN RIGHTS, AND THAT HE WAS

CURRENTLY DEFENDING AN OFFICIAL AND FIVE CARABINEROS FOR

INDISCRIMINATE KILLING OF SEVEN DETAINEES ABOUT A YEAR AGO.

THIS HOULD SEEM TO BE THE SAME (CASE.)

CONFIDENTIAL

\$15,

1.5 (c)



CONFIDENTIAL

(classification)

WHY SUCH CASES WERE NOT

PUBLICIZED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHILEAN GOVERNMENT WAS SERICUS ABOUT PROSECUTING VIOLATORS OF HUMAN RIGHTS NORMS.

THAT WHILE TRADITIONALLY ALL HILITARY TRIAL

1.5 (C)

PRUCEDURES WERE HELD IN SECRET.

, HAD RECENTLY

AGREED TO DISCUSS THE MATTER WITH PRESIDENT AUGUSTO
PINOCHET TO SEE IF EXCEPTIONS COULD BE MADE.

j.

AGAINST

WHO WERE CHARGED WITH THE DEATH OF ABOUT SIX CIVILIANS ARRESTED IN NOVEMBER 1973 FOR DRUNKEN CONDUCT AND CURFER VIOLATION.

ACCURDING TO THE INVESTIGATION, ALL BUT ONE WERE JUVENILE DELINQUENTS. DURING THE BOOKING PROCESS, A FIGHT BROKE OUTBETHEEN THE DETAINEES AND IN WHICH THE FORMER ALLEGEBLY BRAGGED OF THEIR PRIDE IN THEIR MARXIST BELIEFS.

IN THE HEAT OF THE MOMENT, 100K THE GROUP TO

CONFILENTIAL

1.5 (c)



CONFIDENTIAL

(classification

THE CENTRAL PATIO OF THE PRECINCT AND EXECUTED THEM. WHEN HORD OF THE EXECUTION REACHED

IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION WAS ORDERED. THE RESULTS OF WHICH LED TO THE INITIATION OF A MILITARY TRIAL, WHICH IS CURRENTLY IN PROCESS.

7. IN ANOTHER CASE IN EARLY 1974,

AND THE SUBORDINATES HERE STRIPPED OF RANK,

SEPARATED FROM THE SERVICE AND JAILED FOR THE RAPE OF A

HOMAN WHILE ON PATROL DUTY.

COMMENT:

GENERALLY DO NOT WANT TO PRESS PROSECUTION IN THE MAKE OF HRONGDOINGS WHICH OCCUR IN THE HEAT OF ACTION AND AS A RESULT OF IMPASSIONED BAD JUDGMENT. WHEN BLATANT CASES OF MISUSE OR ABUSE OF POWER ARE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF HIGHER COMMAND LEVELS, HOWEVER, PROSECUTIONS DO RESULT.)



· CONFIDENTIAL

1.5 (c)

1.5 (c)

DENY IN TOTO

PAGE 5

1.5 (C)